## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JUSTIN BLACKSHERE,

Petitioner,		Civil No. 2:10-CV-12555
		HONORABLE NANCY G. EDMUNDS
V.		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
DUNCAN MACLAREN,		
_		
Respondent.		
	/	

## ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO TRANSFER THE MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON APPEAL TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

On June 25, 2015, the Court denied the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by petitioner and declined to issue a certificate of appealability or leave to appeal *in forma* pauperis.

On July 31, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. On the same day, petitioner filed an application for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* with this Court, which appears to be a request to be permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. For the reasons stated below, the Court orders that the motion for leave to appeal *in forma pauperis* be transferred to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit.

A notice of appeal generally "confers jurisdiction on the court of appeals and divests the district court of control over those aspects of the case involved in the appeal." *Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons*, 470 U.S. 373, 379 (1985)(citing *Griggs v. Provident Consumer Discount Co.*, 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982)( per curiam )); *Workman v.* 

Tate, 958 F. 2d 164, 167 (6th Cir. 1992). Petitioner's notice of appeal divests this Court of

jurisdiction to consider his motion that he be permitted to proceed in forma pauperis in the

Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. See Johnson v. Woods, No. 5:12–11632; 2013 WL 557271,

\* 2 (E.D. Mich. February 13, 2013); Glick v. U.S. Civil Service Com'n, 567 F. Supp. 1483,

1490 (N.D. III. 1983); Brinton v. Gaffney, 560 F. Supp. 28, 29-30 (E.D. Pa. 1983). Because

jurisdiction of this action was transferred from the district court to the Sixth Circuit Court of

Appeals upon the filing of the notice of appeal, petitioner's motion to proceed in forma

pauperis on appeal would be more appropriately addressed to the Sixth Circuit. In the

interests of justice, this Court can order that the application to proceed in forma pauperis

be transferred to the Sixth Circuit for that court's consideration. See Baker v. Perry, No.

2:12-10424; 2012 WL 6097323, \* 2 (E.D. Mich. December 6, 2012).

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court transfer Petitioner's

"Application to Proceed Without Prepaying Fees or Costs on Appeal" [Dkt. # 27] to the

United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1631.

s/ Nancy G. Edmunds

HON. NANCY G. EDMUNDS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: August 7, 2015

2